NAME: Rob Weltman

Interrogatory #1: Describe fully how you "would be adversely affected if the revocable permits were held over for another year," as alleged in paragraph 14 of the Sierra Club's First Amended Complaint.

Plaintiff objects to this interrogatory because: (a) the request is duplicative of information already provided; and (b) the request is unnecessarily burdensome given the materials previousily provided to the defendants.

David Kimo Frankel Attorney for the Sierra Club

Subject to and without waiving these objections, I previously submitted a declaration dated January 14, 2019 and answered questions in a deposition on May 31, 2019. I incorporate those responses.

I hike to or along Makapipi, Hanawī, Kopili'ula, West Wailuaiki, Wahinepe'e, Waikamoi, and Honopou streams. I enjoy the sounds and beauty of free-flowing streams. I enjoy dipping in them. I also enjoy seeing native aquatic species in the streams. I've seen the impact of diversions – by hiking above, below and next to the diversions. My hiking experience is adversely affected by A&B's diversions and the spread of invasive species. The diversion of streams and the corresponding reduction in native species diminishes my enjoyment of being in nature. The diversions authorized by the continuation of the revocable permits harm my aesthetic, recreational and environmental interests. An increase in the amount of water diverted from east Maui streams will further diminish my enjoyment of the east Maui watershed.

Interrogatory #2: Describe fully how you have been harmed or will be harmed by the Board of Land and Natural Resources' October 2019 decision to continue the subject revocable permits for calendar year 2020.

Plaintiff objects to this interrogatory because: (a) the request is duplicative of information already provided; and (b) the request is unnecessarily burdensome given the materials previousily provided to the defendants.

David Kimo Frankel
Attorney for the Sierra Club

Subject to and without waiving these objections, the answer to this question is the same as #1 above.

Interrogatory #3: Describe fully the irreparable harm that you will suffer if the diversion of water authorized by the Board of Land and Natural Resources' October 2019 decision to continue the subject revocable permits for calendar year 2020 continues for calendar year 2020 (*i.e.*, if Sierra Club's request for an injunction is not granted).

Plaintiff objects to this interrogatory because: (a) the request is duplicative of information already provided; and (b) the request is unnecessarily burdensome given the materials previousily provided to the defendants.

David Kimo Frankel Attorney for the Sierra Club

Subject to and without waiving these objections, the answer to this question is the same as #1 above.

Interrogatory #4: Describe whether and to what extent the harm that you have suffered or will suffer as a result of the Board of Land and Natural Resources' October 2019 decision to continue the subject revocable permits for calendar year 2020 is different than the harm that you have suffered as a result of the Board of Land and Natural Resources' November 2018 decision to continue the subject revocable permits for calendar year 2019.

Plaintiff objects to this interrogatory because: (a) the request is duplicative of information already provided; and (b) the request is unnecessarily burdensome given the materials previousily provided to the defendants.

David Kimo Frankel Attorney for the Sierra Club

Subject to and without waiving these objections, the nature /character of the harm is the same as discussed in #1 above. I have no idea which streams A&B is planning to take additional water from this year.

OATED: Kihei		_, Hawaiʻi, <u>2/14/2022</u>
	(city)	(date)
		Rob Well
		Signature
		Rob Weltman
		Print Name

2		
Civil No. 19-1-0019-01 (JPC)		
Defendant A&B/EMI's Exhibit AB-96		
FOR IDENTIFICATION		
RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE		
CLERK		